



MIT First Grade College

Manandavadi Road, Mysore 570008

Manual for CO-PO Mapping and Attainment

Index

1	Terminology
2	Course Outcomes
3	Mapping CO and PO
4	PO Attainment Process

Terminologies:

Program:

The **Program** is nothing but the branch of Engineering/ Management a candidate opts as a choice of professional one chooses to be.

Course:

Course is nothing but the subjects that are taught to the students during the curriculum year.

Stakeholders:

Stakeholders include **Regulators** (UGC, AICTE, etc), **Management, Students, Faculty, Industry, and Society.**

Program Outcome (PO):

Program outcomes describe what students are expected to know and would be able to do by the time of graduation. These relate to the skills, knowledge, and behaviors that students acquire as they progress through the program. Program Outcome **should ensure** that the **profile of the graduates meets the requirement of stakeholders** such as those that **have influence in career** of graduates.

Course Outcome (CO):

Statements indicating what a student can do after the successful completion of a course. Every Course leads to some Course Outcomes. The CO statements are defined by considering the course content covered in each module of a course. For every course there may be 5 or 6 COs. The keywords used to define COs are based on Bloom's Taxonomy.

OBE:

The **Outcome Based Education** (OBE) demands that the **Program** be designed to instill the required level of

professional attributes at the completion of the program in the candidate in the form of **Program Outcome(PO)**.
Program Educational Objectives (PEOs): Program educational objectives are broad statements that describe the career and professional accomplishments that the program is preparing graduates to achieve.

CO

The **course outcome (CO's)** of each subject must **contribute to several of the defined Program Outcome (PO's)** and in doing so the graduate will be educated with the **attributes** that are required by the stakeholders.

Course outcomes are **action statements** that are written by using verbs.

Such as:

1. **Apply** laws of physics (eg. Hook's law, etc.,) to compute different types of response (stress and deformation) in the given materials. (PO 1)
2. **Analyze** structural elements for different force systems to compute design parameters (BM and SF) (PO2)
3. **Design** compression elements using engineering principles to resist any given loads. (PO3)
4. **Conduct** experiments to validate physical behavior of materials/components.
5. **Prepare** laboratory reports on interpretation of experimental results (P10)

A **minimum of 5 CO's** must be written for each course and **one of the CO must compulsorily** be communicating **real life application** of that particular course.

HOW TO WRITE THE CO'S?

Step 1: Check for the availability of COs mentioned in the syllabus. If available, the same could be suitably adopted in writing the actual CO's for your respective courses. If the VTU defined CO's are not satisfying the compliance with PO's then the CO's may be modified

Step 2: The CO's must be written using Action based words. Each subject could have a minimum of 5 CO's and one of which must compulsorily be communicating **real life application** of that particular course.

Step 3: The initial draft of the written CO's must be approved by the Advisory Committee.

Step 4: The Criterion#2 coordinator must create a list of subjects with their unique codes and the same must be consolidated for each semester and enlisted.

How a CO must NOT be Written

A CO must be written in such a way that they should be measured and **not like the below**.

CO1: Understand the knowledge of basic quantum mechanics, to set up one-dimensional Schrodinger's wave equations and its application to few physical problems.

CO2: Understand the fundamental aspects of crystallography, able to recognize various planes in a crystal and have knowledge of structure determination using x-rays.

CO3: Understand the role of free electrons in determining the properties of metals, the concept of Fermi energy, and the domain formation in ferromagnetic materials.

CO4: Understand the basic laser physics, working of lasers, holography and principle of propagation of light in optical fibers.

CO5: Understand the theory of free, damped and forced vibrations of a particle and also the concept of resonance and its applications in ESR & NMR.

CO Format

COURSE OUTCOME

Subject:-Data Structures and File Processing

Subject code : BC2DS

CO's	DESCRIPTION OF THE OUTCOMES	BT LEVEL
BC2DS.1	Describe different types of data structures, their operations, implementations and applications.	L2
BC2DS.2	Compare various searching and sorting techniques.	L4
BC2DS.3	Summarize various characteristics of storage devices.	L2
BC2DS.4	Describe basic file system organization.	L2
BC2DS.5	Explain the concepts of memory management.	L2

Step 5: The respective faculty must plan the **Delivery/ Instructional Methodologies and Assessment Methodologies by choosing from the mentioned methodologies** for their respective subjects and get the approval of the Criterion#2 Coordinator and the HOD.

Delivery/ Instructional Methodologies:

1. Chalk and Talk

2. Assignment

3. Web Resources

4. ICT

5. Student Seminars

6. Add on Courses

Assessment Methodologies Direct:

1. Assignment

2. Seminar

3. Test/Preparatory

4. University Examination

5. Lab Practices

6. Viva

7. Project

8. Certification

9. Add on Course

10. Others

Step 6: The respective faculty must prepare the question papers for internal assessment and map individual questions with various CO's. The format for preparing the question papers is as follows.

CO's	Q. NO	Q Description	Marks Allotted
	1	a	
		b	
	2	a	
		b	
	3	a	
		b	
	4	a	
		b	

Note: Each of the question must only Map to any one of the COs. In case a choice is to be given between two questions, make sure both of them are mapped to the same COs.

Step 7: The respective faculty must prepare the scheme of evaluation for each of the IA QP's. The format for the same is as follows.

CO	Q. NO	Q Description	Marks Allotted
	1	a	
		Ans)	
	b		
		Ans)	

	2	a	
		Ans)	
	3	b	
		Ans)	
	3	a	
		Ans)	
	3	b	
		Ans)	

CO – PO Mapping

All the courses together must cover all the PO's. For a course we map the CO to PO through the CO-PO matrix with a measure of correlation. The various correlation levels are:

- “1” – Slight (Low) Correlation
- “2” – Moderate (Medium) Correlation
- “3” – Substantial (High) Correlation
- “-” indicates there is no correlation.

CO-PO Mapping Format

CO No	Program Outcomes									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
18BC2DS.1	3	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18BC2DS.2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18BC2DS.3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18BC2DS.4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18BC2DS.5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CO Average	2.25	2	2							

Note: CO Average is calculated as total of the COs / No. of cells filled in that particular PO column

Note: The faculty must justify the assigned level of relevance in brief to the advisory committee.

CO-PO Approval Format for each subject

Date: --/--/--

Step 3: After the completion of each IA, the Course Coordinator of the respective subject must consolidate the IA for each section and tabulate the same in the following formats.

This below mentioned format is preferably to be done in Excel sheet

Sub Code:		IA1												IA2	IA3	SEE				
Question NOs		1a	1b	1c	2a	2b	2c	3a	3b	3c	4a	4b	4c	Assignments						
Max Marks																				
Cos Mapped to	RegNo	BC2DS.1	BC2DS.4	BC2DS.3												Total				
Name																				

The Consolidated Marks of each IA must then be mapped to the CO's and the level of attainment for each CO must be calculated as follows.

Sub Code:		IA1												IA2	IA3	SEE			
Question NOs		1a	1b	1c	2a	2b	2c	3a	3b	3c	4a	4b	4c	Assignments					
Max Marks																			
Cos Mapped to	USN	BC2DS.1	BC2DS.4	BC2DS.3												Total			
Yogesh	05ME127	Y	N	Y	-	Y	N	NA	N	Y	Y	Y	N						
Kishan	07ME016	N	Y	N	-	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y						
Chethan	07ME123	NA	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N						
Ramesh	10ME021	NA	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N						
CO Attainment in %		50	75	75	NA			0			75			50					

Average attainment per CO = CO attainment in every Assessment/No. of instances of assessing that CO.

For Example: from the above table:

Average CO1=CO1 (IA1+IA2+IA3+etc)/No. of instances of assessing CO1

Overall CO attainment = [Avg (CO1+CO2+CO3+CO4+CO5+etc)]/ No. of COs.

Note: **Y** represents that the candidate has **secured more than the set target**

N represents that the candidate has **not secured the set target**

NA represents the candidate has **not attended that question**

- represents that the Question is **not applicable to that particular IA**

Co Attainment = $\frac{\text{No. of Y's}}{\text{No. of Candidates Attended that IA}}$

CO Attainment for a Subject: BC2DS							
COs	IA1	IA2	IA3	A1	A2	A3	Individual CO Avg
BC2DS.1	43.75						
BC2DS.2							
BC2DS.3							
BC2DS.4							
BC2DS.5							
Overall CO Attainment							

Then, the course coordinator must calculate overall CO for both IA's and SEE as follows.

Case1: Overall CO attainment = 50% of CO level in SEE + 50% of CO level in IA

$$= 0.5*1+0.5*2$$

$$=1.5$$

$$= [50\% \text{ of CO level in SEE} * \text{the overall CO attainment level in SEE}] + [50\% \text{ of CO level in IA's} * \text{the overall CO attainment level in IA's}]$$

Step 4: The Course coordinator must then provide the Overall CO attainment of the respective course to the Criterion Coordinator#3.

The Criterion Coordinator#3 must then calculate the PO attainment Level as follows for each of the PO's.

Example:

PO ATTAINMENT TABLE												
Semester: v												
CO AVG	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12
BC5ST (PNMX subject)	1.08	0.74										
BC5CS												

$$\text{PO 1 Attainment} = (2.7*1.2)/3$$

$$=1.08$$

$$\text{PO2 Attainment} = (1.85*1.2)/3$$

$$=0.74$$

$$\text{PO3 Attainment} = (2.8*1.2)/3$$

$$=1.12$$

And So on

Step 5: The Criterion Coordinator #3 must consolidate the PO attainment values for each semester as follows.

Semester: V											
Subjects	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	CO Avg
10ME51 (PNMX subject)											
10ME 52											
10ME 53											
10ME 54											
10ME 55											
10ME 56											
Direct PO Attainment Avg											
Indirect PO Attainment Avg											
Overall PO attainment											
Target Set											

Overall PO attainment = The Average of (Direct PO attainment + Indirect Po Attainment)

Target Set = The value for corresponding PO from table apcq. (By the Advisory Committee)



Prof. K Nage Gowda

Principal

PRINCIPAL
M.I.T. FIRST GRADE COLLEGE
 # F-29/1, 3rd Stage, Industrial Suburb
 Fort Mohalla, Mysuru-570 008